THE POWER OF LISTENING
By Brian Muldoon

With compassion one becomes courageous. Compassion brings triumph when attacked; it brings security when maintained.
--Tao Te Ching

Compassion is a mind that removes the suffering that is present in the other.
--Thich Nhat Hanh

Compassionate Adversaries
In the heat of battle, we don’t often think kindly of the enemy. Although we may intellectually recognize that the other side is suffering, we harden our hearts to their tribulations, some of which we may have engendered and can readily justify.

A hard heart is not always our best ally. It can blind us to the motives of our adversary and obscure our understanding of the reality in which she operates. It is not necessary that we agree or sympathize with our enemy, but it is foolish not to understand him. When we cut ourselves off from our natural tendency to feel compassion for others, including our enemy, we reduce our effectiveness because we insulate ourselves from valuable information. Conflict polarizes us, so it is not surprising that we rarely see the whole picture.

Compassion is not the same as sentimentality. Rather, it is the discipline to resonate with another person, to feel what she feels, to connect, to move beyond
the limitations of our own prejudices and opinions. It guards us against hurting ourselves by our willingness to hurt others. But compassion does not mean that we should surrender to their desires or exhibit weakness. It simply means that we will not stop being human just because we are engaged in conflict.

Conflict takes place in an environment of mistrust. Compassion helps to restore some measure of basic trust, so that some form of functional communication can take place. When that communication occurs, we usually will learn something essential for the resolution of the matter. We already know what we think. Compassion allows us to understand what they think, and why.

The way in which compassion is most commonly and usefully expressed in the midst of conflict is through listening. Not just the kind of listening we do while we are waiting to speak, but real listening.

The Alchemy of Listening
Medieval alchemists regarded life as a process of refining and strengthening the soul as it moved closer to the divine. The soul was like iron. With the proper magic, it might become gold—precious and easily shaped into objects of beauty.

Adversaries tend to take positions that initially seem ironclad. Like two heavily armored knights, they circle one another, and the sound of metal against metal rings out in the arena of combat.

A court alchemist watching their stiff and heavy-handed struggle from the shadows might wonder how to transform their iron protection into apparel of pliant gold. Caught by the regal brilliance of one another, might not the adversaries approach the controversy differently?

Listening has the quality of the wizard's alchemy. It has the power to melt armor and to produce beauty in the midst of hatred.

Writer Brenda Ueland, a friend of Carl Sandburg, described the transformative properties of listening in *Strength To Your Sword Arm*:

"When we listen to people there is an alternating current, and this recharges us so that we never get tired of each other. We are constantly being recreated. [There is] this little creative fountain inside us that begins to spring and cast up new thoughts and unexpected laughter and wisdom. If you are very tired, strained, have no solitude, run too many errands, talk to too many people, drink too many cocktails, this little fountain is muddied over and covered with a lot of debris. The result is you stop living from the center, the creative fountain, and you live from the periphery, from externals. That is why, when someone has listened to you, you go
home rested and lighthearted. It is when people really listen to us, with quiet fascinated attention, that the little fountain begins to work again, to accelerate in the most surprising way."

One of the greatest gifts a parent can give a child is to listen. As every parent knows, most warnings are forgotten and most advice is ignored, but the times we listen to our children--to their fears and problems and victories--are treasured moments that never seem to fade.

The parent who can listen to a child recognizes that birth is a lifelong experience. We are constantly emerging from an intangible womb, discovering hidden aspects of ourselves with each new interaction or challenge. When a parent listens with genuine interest to a child's cries of hunger, to his first words, to his frustration at school, the child receives a vitally important message: "I care. You matter."

This kind of acceptance is precious because it is so rare. The world can be a cold and hostile place, seeming to reject everything we value about ourselves. Listening creates an island of safety. As we mature, those who listen to us make it possible to explore that island. Those who hear us become our best friends, our lovers, our mates. They literally help bring who we are into being.

The need for listening is never greater than when we are in conflict. Long distance charges go through the ceiling when a relationship sours. Endless community meetings follow the announcement that developers plan to build a high-rise or a half-way house near an established neighborhood. In times of conflict, lawyers and therapists prosper. Families and friends are drawn close.

Unfortunately, much of the listening we are afforded by others in times of conflict is of marginal value or is even destructive. Friends and family members often take advantage of our vulnerability by providing unsolicited opinions and projecting their own issues into the picture. Lawyers often prefer to strategize than to listen, which they often dismiss it as mere "hand-holding." Everybody would rather give advice than allow us to come to it on our own. When someone is in trouble, the immediate reaction is to talk, rather than listen.

When we are engaged by conflict, we naturally want to be heard. In dealing with our adversary, however, the need to be heard often becomes a struggle to convince the other person that we are "right." We want the adversary to cry "uncle" before we graciously make a concession. This is the point where conflict can most easily spin out of control. In our campaign to be right, it doesn't occur to us that our energies might more effectively be spent by listening to our adversary rather than in arguing. We are still influenced by a four year child inside us who deals with conflict by putting his hands over his ears and shouting at his older siblings. We still secretly believe in the power of the tantrum.
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Why is it essential that we become effective listeners to resolve conflict? It is because a change of heart is almost always required before a conflict can be put to rest. Something must shift to end the impasse. Arguing—the assertion of the superiority of our position—is not generally an effective way to change your adversary's thinking. Did arguing work the last time you couldn't agree with your husband about which movie to see? Did it work when your kids refused to go to bed? Did it work when your client questioned last month's bill? Did you really change his mind, or did someone simply give in?

An old adage counsels that, "If in family matters, it ever turns out that you are right, immediately apologize." There is always a price to be paid in establishing our moral superiority. There's nothing wrong with being right, but it's rarely worth the cost of getting someone else to cry "uncle." Being right is a private matter. It's enough that you know.

Listening is far more effective than arguing as a way to resolve conflict. Listening opens new routes past the impasse by creating a "place" for change to happen. A good listener is like an engineer dealing with a river that floods after a heavy rain. Only a fool would try to defeat gravity by attempting to push the river back upstream. The wise engineer makes gravity her friend. She builds a canal and holding ponds at the proper place and lets the water flow of its own accord.

Listening allows change to take place without forcing it. Any attempt to impose a solution is likely to be met with resistance. It is the nature of free will to remain free. Like gravity, free will instantly reacts to any attempt at coercion or manipulation. Listening respects the speaker's sovereignty. It is unnecessary to be defensive in the presence of genuine listening.

Listening is one of the few human interactions that reduces rather than increases resistance. For confrontation or containment to be effective, it is often necessary that we also engage in an active campaign of listening.

A good listener is an attentive companion as the speaker is lead to his own conclusions. The mind shifts when the awareness that "something's gotta give" is no longer blocked by the need to justify our feelings or actions. Once we stop being defensive, the mind is free to become creative. Change then happens naturally.

The challenge of listening to one's adversary can be daunting. After all, many of the things that will be said are probably hostile and directed at the listener. But the light it sheds is worth the heat you'll take.

Adversaries seldom convince one another of the merits of their own positions, but by listening they can convince each other of their good faith and constructive
intentions. Once the parties believe that the other is acting in good faith, the likelihood of finding a solution is greatly improved. Being heard means that I no longer need to fight to make my point. By listening, my adversary shows that he or she acknowledges my right to take a stand, even if I'm wrong. We cannot both listen to our adversary and at the same time perceive the conflict in terms of winning and losing.

This is why bitter adversaries resist sitting down together. To listen to your enemy implies that you accept his right to have a voice. To have a voice assumes personhood and vitality. For the Israelis to meet directly with the Palestinian Arabs, for example, means that both already have recognized the legitimacy--the legal existence--of one another. The rest is details.

Keeping in mind that good listening is a rarity even within families or among close friends, it is not surprising that we would strongly resist listening to our adversaries. Nevertheless, one of the most powerful tools of conflict resolution is the application of what I call "deep listening" to one's opponent. Listening completely rewrites the script, as illustrated by a medical malpractice case with which I was involved several years ago.

**A Question of Manhood**

Ken, a 62 year old bank vice president, had recently gone through a heart bypass operation. The increased blood flow had worked wonders for his sexual appetite and performance. For the first time in years, he and his wife were enjoying a healthy and regular physical relationship.

His annual medical examination suggested that Ken was, however, a good candidate for prostate surgery. Dr. Barrington, a highly-recommended urologist, also advised Ken that he might want to remove a nondescended testicle that probably was precancerous. It was nonfunctional, the doctor noted, and its removal would have no impact on Ken's sexuality.

Immediately after the surgery, while Ken was still coming out of the anesthesia, Dr. Barrington realized, to his horror, that he had removed the wrong testicle. He had taken the functioning testicle, the one that continued to produce sperm. Barrington promptly advised Ken and his family of the mistake, and waived all the medical bills, but Ken was enraged. He had been castrated.

A lawsuit was brought, but Dr. Barrington's insurance company wanted to see if a settlement could be reached without going through years of depositions and medical examinations. Remembering Ken's open hostility, Barrington was hesitant to participate in any negotiations. On two occasions, Barrington even cancelled the settlement meeting at the last minute and went golfing in Florida. His lawyer began to doubt that Dr. Barrington had the stomach for a face to face confrontation with his former patient.
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Finally, however, Dr. Barrington showed up for a mediation conference with Ken, his wife, the lawyers and the insurance company representatives. Ken wanted half a million dollars to settle the case.

Dr. Barrington had been coached in listening by his lawyer and the mediator before the meeting. "Don't get defensive. Don't try to argue with him. Just let him tell his side of the story. If you have to go to trial, that's when you'll argue about what happened. This is Ken's opportunity to get it out of his system. Consider it part of your medical care of him to permit him to say whatever is on his mind."

Dr. Barrington understood. He put aside his fear and anxiety and feelings of incompetence and gave Ken his full attention. It wasn't easy. Barrington listened intently as Ken detailed the humiliation, the frequent trips to the sexual dysfunction counselor, the loss of his manhood. Ken's wife also spoke at length. They could hardly stand to look at Barrington, but he took it all in.

Ken's anger was so fierce that it seemed to hang in the air like a sword. We took a break to allow everyone to breathe. Ken turned to me, looking despondent. "I don't think he heard a word I said. He hasn't said a thing about all this since the day of the operation and probably just figures that it's the insurance company's problem now."

Dr. Barrington came back from the break and sat down. His medical file was in front of him.

"You know, I can't tell you how many times I've stayed awake at night, wondering 'How did this happen?' This isn't what I went to medical school for. I want to make people better, not have them leave the operating room ... worse than they entered it."

Now Ken was listening. His wife, for the first time, looked at Barrington as he spoke. Maybe Dr. Barrington had heard what they said, after all.

Dr. Barrington made no attempt to excuse his error, but was able to identify precisely what had gone wrong, having to do with a test he should have conducted on his own instead of relying on the hospital's workup.

"And Ken, Sally, I don't blame you one bit for how you feel about me. In the same circumstances, I'd feel the same way. All I can say is, I'm sorry this happened. Truly sorry."
There was silence. Ken stared at his hands for a long time. He seemed lost in an old memory. Holding a picture in his mind like a photograph, he silently returned to the recovery room when he had first come back to consciousness after the operation. He remembered the doctor's face that day. The doctor's remorse had been there since the beginning. Ken could see it more clearly now. It had just been obscured by his own anger, the anger of his wife and the anger of his daughter as the two of them stood next to his bed. And by the doctor's fear of what might happen. The anger and the fear had been making the decisions long enough.

Finally, Ken spoke.

"Doctor, I think the time has come for us to get on with our lives." His voice dropped to a whisper.

"This may seem hard to believe, and maybe my lawyer doesn't want me to be saying this, but I ... forgive you. You made a mistake, and I have suffered, that's true. But I know that you're a good doctor. You meant me no harm. I wish you none."

The urologist was near tears. Together, they stood up and, to the amazement of their lawyers, shook hands.

Although he might have left at any time, Dr. Barrington waited in the reception area of my office for six more hours, until the final details of the lengthy negotiations between the lawyers had been ironed out. Ken and Sally weren't the only ones who had been released of a heavy weight.

**The Basics of Listening**

From the time we first start making gurgling sounds, all human beings are constantly taught how to talk. How to make words, how to make speeches, how to make sales presentations, how to argue a case to a jury, how to ask for what we want.

But there isn't much room in the curriculum for listening and most of us don't come by it naturally. The focus of our educational system is on expression--how to write or say what we wish to communicate. We spend 30% of our communication time speaking, 9% in writing and 16% in reading--and 45% of that time in listening. Listening is used the most and taught the least. And listening is by far the more difficult skill.

In fact, listening is so rare a quality that it sometimes seems to belong in the exclusive domain of the professionals-therapists, clergy and talk show hosts.
Philosopher Mortimer Adler gave a speech on listening in the 1950s that compared the relative difficulty of listening and speaking:

"I have discovered that I can easily give a college lecture for four hours without stopping. But to listen, intently, for even an hour is exhausting."

What is exhausting about listening is the effort to restrain our own incessant inner chatter and desire for attention. All it takes is a decision to give that attention to the person speaking. Being polite is not enough. We must be willing to clear away the debris until the muddy water gives way to the pure spring beneath. "Tell me more," we must learn to say.

Carl Rogers, the great psychotherapist, observed that:

The major barrier to ... communication is our very natural tendency to judge, to evaluate, to approve (or disapprove) the statement of the other person or the other group. Real communication occurs, and this evaluative tendency is avoided, when we listen with understanding. What does that mean? It means to see the expressed idea and attitude from the other person’s point of view, to sense how it feels to him, to achieve his frame of reference in regard to the thing he is talking about.

Rogers' point was not just that listening makes for a clearer understanding of another person’s views, although this is certainly so. Listening actually changes the person to whom you are listening. Based on his extensive experience as a psychotherapist, Rogers concluded that, "Listening with understanding is the most effective agent we know for altering the basic personality structure of an individual." If it works in therapy, it can work for me and you.

Listening, then, is a communication. What we communicate by effective listening is that we have heard and understood the content of what is being said. That means that the message doesn't require the speaker to engage in repetition, clarification or elaboration. The speaker has completed his or her task. This shifts the energy of the conflict. It is no longer driven by the speaker's need to be heard. The first speaker can now become a listener. The big picture--composed of all sides of the question--can now emerge.

To communicate effectively the listener's message that "I have heard and understood what you have said," the following elements are required:

**Attention.** Put everything else aside. Make eye contact. Suspend your critical, judgmental side and open yourself so that everything can flow directly into your inner self without obstruction. Become a vessel. Fill up.

**Empathy.** Allow yourself to be touched. Permit the other person to find the chord in you that resonates with what is being said.
Mirroring. Repeat what has been said so that the speaker knows that the content of the communication has registered. Don't interpret or diminish it. Don't subvert it, twist it or respond to it. If you are really good at listening, you may be able to restate it better than it was originally said, but this can be dangerous if the restatement is perceived as a sneaky way to undercut the speaker's point. Mirroring is successful only when the speaker is able to say: "That's exactly right. That's what I was saying." A good listener is like a good journalist: no matter what one's own opinion, listening means being able to report the other's views fairly and objectively.

The key to "listening with understanding" (as Rogers calls it), or "deep" listening, is to have and communicate the **intention** to listen. The degree of your intention will determine how effective you are. If your intention is clear, the technique will fall into place.

**The Practice Of Listening: Good and Bad Listening**

Dr. Barrington, in the story that opened the chapter, showed good listening skills, even though it was difficult for him to hear all the charges being leveled at him by Ken and Sally. Barrington had been advised by his lawyer that the surgery was a mistake but probably wasn't medical negligence, so it would have been easy for Barrington to be defensive or argumentative. He could have been angry at Ken for suing him or otherwise could have attacked Ken's motives. Many times, those who have been sued devote a lot of time to this kind of counter-offensive. But Barrington did not.

Dr. Barrington showed that he was interested in everything that Ken and Sally said. He didn't sift through papers in his file or cross his arms or make faces at his lawyer when he heard something that might have overstated the case. Nor did he play the tough guy. It was clear that Dr. Barrington was touched by what Ken said. He allowed himself to empathize with his former patient and the obvious distress that had followed the surgery.

Dr. Barrington did not formally "mirror" what Ken and Sally said, but he clearly signaled that he had gotten the message. Moreover, he communicated that he had himself been troubled by the outcome of the surgery.

Our lives are filled with examples of bad listening. Parents who act as if their children are not entitled to their own feelings and opinions. Employers who don't want to know what their workers think. Customers that won't listen to their vendors and vendors who are more concerned about the profit line than the product line.

When the purpose of communication is argumentative, there is very little room for deep listening, the purpose of which is to see what is burning in the deepest
reaches of another's heart. In arguments, one listens only enough to learn what
positions to rebut. The kind of listening that happens in lawsuits and other
disputative contexts could be called "shallow listening." When a person feels
attacked and responds defensively, very little listening will occur.

We resist listening to one another when we assume that, by listening, we are
being asked to solve or do something about the matter. Especially if it is our
conduct that is being described, it is natural to want to respond. It takes practice
and discipline to listen faithfully to one another without feeling a need to agree,
disagree or do something about it. Every communication does not have to be a
debate. Listening can be an opportunity for a friend or spouse simply to express
a feeling, or to come to terms with something that isn't likely to change or be
solved, or maybe to solve it herself.

Good listening requires enormous discipline. Some come by it more easily than
others, but all of us can benefit from the conscious practice of our listening skills.
Sooner or later, someone will test our abilities.

Community conflict is an excellent place to learn how listening works--or doesn't
work. A number of years ago I was appointed to chair a commission to advise the
new mayor and city council of Phoenix whether to sign a proposed development
contract that had been negotiated by the prior administration. The developers
planned to raze all buildings in a one square block area of the downtown and
replace them with more attractive commercial and retail structures. It would
mean the relocation of a number of marginal businesses as well as one or two
prospering concerns.

When we were scheduling the commission's public hearings, one of the city
planning department employees warned me to expect a filibuster from the wife of
the owner of a major department store who was threatening litigation if the city
went ahead with the proposal. "Don't worry about that," I was advised by John
Goodson, a fellow commission member. John, a creative and inspired lawyer,
promised to guide me through the hearings. "I'll show you how to eliminate her
hostility and come out with an even better proposal, " John promised.

"How will we do that?" I asked.

"By listening to her. **Really** listening." John smiled as if he knew something
mysterious.

Sure enough, at every meeting, she showed up and took her place in line to
speak against the proposal. We had considered setting a five minute time limit
on presentations, but John insisted that there be no limits. "She gets to talk until
there's nothing more to say. We will invite her to discuss all of her concerns, in
detail, until she's ready to sit down on her own."
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At each meeting, John made certain that the woman from the department store did not leave the microphone until she had said everything that was on her mind. If she made a general statement, John invited her to go into detail. If she brought documents or photographs, John asked if they could be incorporated into the record. Even when the rest of us became irritated or impatient, John's attention never flagged. And he always thanked her for her contribution.

It was near the end of our third or fourth meeting. Following John's coaching, I had said, for perhaps the twentieth time, "Is there anything else you would like to say? Anything at all?" A smile appeared on the lips that had been so tightly pursed for weeks on end.

"No," she said. "I think that's all I have to say." And she sat down.

John looked over at me and grinned.

Now, for the first time, I could work productively with the woman and her husband and get their input on the project. They would be guaranteed a store location in the heart of the new development at bargain prices. Perhaps they would even be allowed to invest in the project. Nothing more was said about bringing a lawsuit.

Listening doesn't always mean that opposition can be eliminated, of course. Differences will remain, no matter how effective the listening. But deep listening, listening that is patient and unhurried, provides an excellent vehicle for removing the emotional obstacles to dealing with the differences. Listening makes it possible to talk about solving a problem rather than simply maneuvering to undo one another.

It is easy to forget to listen. When we get too focused on achieving our own goals or making a point, listening is the last thing that comes to mind. The moment we begin to regard others as incidental, as obstacles to our progress or a blank slate on which we must write, listening becomes impossible. A tyrant has neither the desire nor the capacity to listen.

In a collaborative process that we'll discuss at length in a later chapter, I found myself behaving like a tyrant. I was coming to the end of an intense consensus-building process on an environmental matter. Twenty-five participants had labored for weeks to put together a comprehensive program for dealing with the state's solid waste problems. To everyone's amazement, we had managed to reach unanimous agreement on virtually every point and were drafting the final report. The Christmas holidays were approaching and I had promised to have everyone out of the meeting by 4:30 p.m.
It was nearly five o'clock, and the participants were starting to put on their coats as we made final arrangements for a formal signing and press conference at the state capital. One of the quieter participants spoke up. He was haggard, but determined. "I can't sign this. No way. It's too imbalanced. This will take weeks to finish."

We were all in shock. We all shared the same homicidal impulse as it began to dawn on us—all that work, down the tube. There would be no agreement, since we had imposed a rule that any document we might create would have to be approved unanimously. And I was responsible for the failure. As facilitator, I must have overlooked his resistance or neglected to include him along the way.

I lost it. "Is there some reason you want to subvert this group?" I demanded. I had my theories. There would be little he could say. He must have some kind of agenda. There were reports that he might try to scuttle the process as a way to get revenge on one of the participants who recently had made an unfavorable ruling on one of his projects. His timing was impeccable.

The man, an introverted engineer who had genuine difficulty expressing himself, looked shocked. Then all the other participants started taking pot shots at him. I broke up the meeting and stepped into a corner of the room with him.

"Look, I know that you support what we have put together. There must be something I don't understand. What do you need to be able to sign off on this?"

I looked into his eyes. He really didn't seem to be enjoying himself. This wasn't a charade. He was genuinely uncomfortable with something.

One of the other participants came up to us. She and the engineer had shared rides to the meetings. She had joined in with the engineer when he objected to signing off on the document.

"It was that article this morning. The one that accused us of participating in 'secret' meetings with private industry and the government. How do you think this looks to all those people we have to report back to?" The engineer nodded.

So that was it. They were both concerned about being accused of selling out their position to folks who wouldn't be able to understand the compromises or the victories.

"What if you didn't have to sign it? Could you still agree to the report and to being listed as a participant?" I asked.

We announced to the group that there would be a press conference, but no formal signing. Everyone breathed a sigh of relief and went home to finish their holiday shopping. And I reminded myself to remember to look—and listen—before leaping down someone's throat.

Learning how to listen is more than mastering a technique or a formula. Good listeners develop the deeper aspects of their character that give power to the quality of their listening. Good listeners prepare themselves for the experience. They take the process seriously. They know how to set everything else aside and attend to the emergence of the unknown. Listening requires respect for the dark mysteries that can come forth from another person.

Listening is a conscious matter. We must quiet our own thoughts, release our expectations and become aware of our own feelings. Listening requires patience. We must wait for the thoughts to take shape in another person's being and give time for the words to form and find expression.

**Listening and Fairness**

Listening changes not only the one to whom we listen, but to the listener as well. To listen is to subject ourselves to our own sense of what is fair. When we listen, the grip of our passion and prejudice is loosened.

As we saw in the last chapter, equity theory demonstrates that we automatically adjust out inner sense of what is fair (and, consequently, our own "bottom line" position) in proportion to the cost to our adversary of the underlying experience or event. Empathy equalizes, in short. Listening allows us both to confront the adversary in a non-threatening way as well as to bring us both closer to a reasonable middle ground.

I have observed this countless times in mediations. One party will explain that the case cannot be settled because there is no reason for either side to change its position. But, once they are face to face, they can longer regard one another as numbers or a file or an anonymous enemy. They can no longer pretend that the injustice has been one-sided. There are two sides to every coin, we are told. Once we see this to be true, the basic human impulse of fairness begins to alter our sense of what we want to achieve in the transaction.

This explains why stubborn people are bad listeners. We might learn something that changes our view of the matter!

It also explains why propaganda (which vilifies the enemy and restricts access to information about his suffering) is important to our ability to conduct long-term warfare. When the enemy is human, and we cannot deny his pain, we feel the longing to be just.
Summary
Of all the tools available to us in dealing with conflict, none is more important than attentive, intentional listening. Listening helps reduce resistance and opens our thinking to creative solutions. Listening not only clarifies the message, but changes both the messenger and the listener. Listening makes it possible for both sides to have a change of heart.

Listening doesn't happen by itself. It takes a conscious decision and a willingness to release the distraction of "being right." In learning how to listen, we develop the virtues of patience and even humility. Ultimately, listening teaches us to resolve conflict by letting it resolve itself.